

Economic Policy Poll

Prepared on behalf of Labour List





Economic Policy Poll

On behalf of Labour List 25/05/13 - 1,121 respondents

Fieldwork Dates

24th May 2013

Data Collection Method

The survey was conducted via online panel. Invitations to complete surveys were sent out to members of the panel. Differential response rates from different demographic groups were taken into account.

Population Sampled

All adults aged 18+ England, Scotland and Wales.

Sample Size

1,121

Data Weighting

Data were weighted to the profile of all adults aged 18+. Data were weighted by gender, age, region and past vote. Targets for the weighted data were derived from Office of National Statistics data and the results of the 2010 General Election.

Margin of Error

Because only a sample of the full population was interviewed, all results are subject to margin of error, meaning that not all differences are statistically significant. For example, in a question where 50% (the worst case scenario as far as margin of error is concerned) gave a particular answer, with a sample of 1,121 it is 95% certain that the 'true' value will fall within the range of 2.9% from the sample result. Subsamples from the cross-breaks will be subject to higher margin of error, conclusions drawn from cross-breaks with very small sub-samples should be treated with caution.

Question presentation

All data tables shown in full below, in order and wording put to respondents, including but not limited to all tables relating to published data and all relevant tables preceding them. Tables for demographic questions might not be included but these should be clear from the cross-breaks on published tables.

In all questions where the responses are a list of parties, names or statements, these will typically have been displayed to respondents in a randomising order. The only questions which would not have had randomising responses would be those in which there was a natural order to maintain – e.g. a scale from "strongly agree" to "strongly disagree", a list of numbers from 0 to 10 or questions which had factual rather than opinion-related answers such as demographic information. "Other", "Don't know" and "Refused" responses are not randomised.

Not all questions will have necessarily been asked to all respondents – this is because they may be follow-on questions from previous questions or only appropriate to certain demographic groups. Lower response counts should make clear where this has occurred.



Economic Policy Poll On behalf of Labour List

25/05/13 - 1,121 respondents

Data were analysed and weighted by Survation's team of statistical consultants and presented by Patrick Briône and Damian Lyons Lowe of Survation.

For further information please contact; Damian Lyons Lowe Chief Executive Survation Ltd 0203 142 7644 damian.lyonslowe@survation.com

If you are interested in commissioning a poll from us, please contact researchteam@survation.com for a prompt response to your enquiry and we'll call you right back with the appropriate person.

Sign up for our press releases at http://eepurl.com/mOK8T

Follow us on twitter:

www.twitter.com/survation for our regular survey work and political polling

www.twitter.com/damiansurvation for Damian Lyons Lowe's twitter feed

Survation are a member of The British Polling Council and abide by its rules: http://www.britishpollingcouncil.org

Survation Ltd Registered in England & Wales Number 07143509



28 May 2013

Table 1

Which of the following statements is closest to your opinion?

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
Labour can be trusted with the economy
Labour cannot be trusted with the economy
Dont know
Sigma

Total	Gen	ider		Age 2010 Vote						Vot	ing Intent	tion			SE	G		Region11												
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotlan d	Wales	London	South East	South West	East of England	East Midland s	West Midland s	North East	North West	Yorks & Humbers ide	
1121	495	626	441	452	228	274	255	199	71	209	339	97	163	78	242	342	294	243	73	62	135	149	116	107	75	103	57	132	105	
1121	546	575	321	396	404	296	237	189	78	205	312	84	193	78	201	362	311	247	72	66	114	158	121	92	79	105	54	140	112	
337	188	149	105	132	100	24	172	59	13	17	240	19	15	23	78	102	95	63	19	21	39	45	35	24	25	32	19	38	39	
30.1%	34.5%	25.9%	32.6%	33.4%	24.8%	8.0%	72.3%	31.5%	17.1%	8.3%	76.8%	22.7%	7.7%	29.4%	38.6%	28.1%	30.5%	25.6%	26.2%	31.1%	34.4%	28.5%	28.6%	26.0%	32.2%	30.0%	36.0%	27.0%	34.4%	
525	274	250	117	171	236	243	26	88	39	168	27	47	150	29	95	181	137	112	28	22	42	85	66	48	34	46	22	75	53	
46.8%	50.3%	43.5%	36.4%	43.3%	58.6%	82.2%	11.0%	46.7%	50.1%	81.9%	8.5%	55.9%	77.4%	36.9%	47.1%	49.9%	44.2%	45.4%	38.0%	33.1%	36.6%	54.1%	54.5%	52.2%	43.8%	43.7%	41.8%	53.3%	47.2%	
259	83	176	100	93	67	29	40	41	25	20	46	18	29	26	29	80	79	72	26	24	33	28	20	20	19	28	12	28	21	
23.1%	15.2%	30.6%	31.0%	23.4%	16.6%	9.8%	16.8%	21.8%	32.8%	9.7%	14.7%	21.4%	14.9%	33.8%	14.3%	22.0%	25.3%	29.1%	35.8%	35.8%	29.0%	17.5%	16.9%	21.8%	24.0%	26.3%	22.3%	19.7%	18.5%	
1121	546	575	321	396	404	296	237	189	78	205	312	84	193	78	201	362	311	247	72	66	114	158	121	92	79	105	54	140	112	
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	



28 May 2013

Table 2

Sigma

If Labour pledged to match current Conservative spending plans, would it make you: Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total Weighted Total Much more likely to vote Labour Somewhat more likely to vote Labour Neither more nor less likely to vote Labour Somewhat less likely to vote Labour Much less likely to vote Labour NET:Much more likely to vote Labour/Somewhat more likely to vote Labour NET:Much less likely to vote Labour/Somewhat less likely to vote Labour

Γ	Total	Gen	ıder	Age			2010 Vote				Voting Intention						SE	G							Region1	1				
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotlan d	Wales	London	South East	South West	East of England	East Midland s	West Midland s	North East	North West	Yorks & Humbers ide
Ī	1121	495	626	441	452	228	274	255	199	71	209	339	97	163	78	242	342	294	243	73	62	135	149	116	107	75	103	57	132	105
	1121	546	575	321	396	404	296	237	189	78	205	312	84	193	78	201	362	311	247	72	66	114	158	121	92	79	105	54	140	112
	79	41	38	37	28	14	7	49	4	3	7	54	3	5	4	20	16	16	26	4	4	11	6	7	4	5	6	4	15	11
	7.0%	7.5%	6.6%	11.6%	7.0%	3.4%	2.3%	20.7%	1.9%	4.4%	3.2%	17.3%	3.3%	2.5%	4.7%	10.1%	4.5%	5.2%	10.5%	6.1%	6.2%	9.4%	3.8%	5.9%	4.8%	6.5%	6.0%	8.3%	11.0%	9.4%
	124	53	70	62	43	19	15	45	19	4	12	63	15	12	8	26	39	32	25	7	4	20	10	12	10	10	15	8	11	14
	11.0%	9.8%	12.2%	19.3%	10.9%	4.6%	5.2%	19.0%	10.1%	5.3%	5.9%	20.2%	18.5%	6.3%	10.7%	13.1%	10.9%	10.5%	10.2%	9.6%	6.3%	17.5%	6.6%	10.1%	10.6%	12.4%	14.4%	15.1%	7.6%	12.7%
	706	319	387	179	251	276	199	105	133	52	138	128	57	136	46	112	238	213	142	46	38	66	121	75	64	43	70	29	81	69
	63.0%	58.5%	67.3%	55.8%	63.4%	68.3%	67.1%	44.3%	70.8%	67.6%	67.2%	41.1%	67.8%	70.5%	58.9%	55.8%	65.8%	68.6%	57.6%	62.9%	57.7%	58.0%	76.6%	62.0%	69.7%	54.3%	66.2%	54.1%	57.8%	61.6%
	112	71	41	27	41	44	32	28	19	6	24	53	6	9	8	26	37	26	23	10	12	10	12	6	7	7	5	5	27	11
	10.0%	13.0%	7.2%	8.5%	10.3%	11.0%	11.0%	11.6%	10.0%	7.5%	11.5%	17.1%	6.7%	4.8%	10.0%	13.1%	10.2%	8.4%	9.4%	14.3%	18.0%	8.5%	7.4%	5.2%	7.4%	9.3%	5.0%	9.5%	18.9%	10.0%
	100	61	39	16	34	51	43	10	14	12	25	14	3	31	12	16	31	23	30	5	8	7	9	20	7	14	9	7	7	7
	8.9%	11.2%	6.8%	4.9%	8.5%	12.7%	14.4%	4.4%	7.2%	15.1%	12.2%	4.3%	3.8%	15.9%	15.7%	7.8%	8.7%	7.4%	12.3%	7.1%	11.8%	6.5%	5.6%	16.8%	7.5%	17.5%	8.3%	13.1%	4.7%	6.3%
	202	95	108	99	71	32	22	94	23	8	19	117	18	17	12	47	56	49	51	11	8	31	16	19	14	15	22	13	26	25
	18.1%	17.3%	18.8%	30.9%	17.8%	8.0%	7.5%	39.7%	12.0%	9.7%	9.1%	37.5%	21.8%	8.9%	15.3%	23.3%	15.4%	15.7%	20.8%	15.7%	12.5%	26.9%	10.4%	16.1%	15.4%	18.9%	20.5%	23.3%	18.6%	22.1%
	213	132	81	43	74	96	75	38	32	18	49	67	9	40	20	42	68	49	54	15	20	17	21	27	14	21	14	12	33	18
	19.0%	24.2%	14.0%	13.3%	18.8%	23.7%	25.4%	16.0%	17.2%	22.7%	23.7%	21.4%	10.4%	20.7%	25.7%	20.9%	18.8%	15.8%	21.7%	21.4%	29.8%	15.1%	13.0%	22.0%	14.9%	26.8%	13.3%	22.6%	23.6%	16.3%
	1121	546	575	321	396	404	296	237	189	78	205	312	84	193	78	201	362	311	247	72	66	114	158	121	92	79	105	54	140	112
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



28 May 2013

Table 3

Which of the following statements is closest to your opinion?

Base : All Respondents

Unweighted Total
Weighted Total
The government should invest
more money in growth and jobs,
even if that means borrowing
more over the short term

The government should avoid borrowing more money, so as to reduce the deficit, even if that means lower investment in growth and jobs over the short term

Dont know

Sigma

Total	Gen	Gender Age			2010 Vote				Voting Intention						SE	G							Region1	1					
	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotlan d	Wales	London	South East	South West	East of England	East Midland s	West Midland s	North East	North West	Yorks & Humbers ide
1121	495	626	441	452	228	274	255	199	71	209	339	97	163	78	242	342	294	243	73	62	135	149	116	107	75	103	57	132	105
1121	546	575	321	396	404	296	237	189	78	205	312	84	193	78	201	362	311	247	72	66	114	158	121	92	79	105	54	140	112
535 47.7%	276 50.5%	259 45.1%	154 48.1%	199 50.1%	182 45.2%	80 27.1%	173 72.9%	104 55.4%	37 47.9%	70 33.9%	220 70.6%	43 51.6%	55 28.6%	54 68.9%	94 46.4%	163 45.0%	161 51.8%	118 47.7%	41 55.9%	37 55.8%	59 51.9%	67 42.2%	48 39.4%	43 46.9%	34 43.4%	56 52.9%	29 54.4%	63 44.6%	56 49.6%
440 39.2%	217 39.7%	223 38.8%	118 36.7%	153 38.6%	169 41.8%	185 62.7%	53 22.5%	54 28.5%	30 39.1%	123 59.7%	69 22.2%	35 41.4%	106 54.7%	14 18.1%	92 45.8%	134 37.0%	108 34.9%	105 42.6%	26 36.2%	20 30.6%	41 36.0%	62 39.1%	55 45.7%	39 42.2%	30 37.8%	36 34.0%	18 34.3%	66 46.7%	45 39.8%
146 13.0% 1121 100.0%	54 9.8% 546 100.0%	93 16.1% 575 100.0%	49 15.2% 321 100.0%	45 11.3% 396 100.0%	53 13.0% 404	30 10.2% 296 100.0%	11 4.6% 237	30 16.1% 189 100.0%	10 13.0% 78 100.0%	13 6.3% 205	23 7.2% 312 100.0%	6 7.0% 84	32 16.7% 193	10 13.0% 78 100.0%	16 7.8% 201	65 18.0% 362	41 13.3% 311 100.0%	24 9.7% 247 100.0%	6 7.9% 72 100.0%	9 13.7% 66	14 12.1% 114 100.0%	30 18.7% 158	18 14.8% 121 100.0%	10 10.9% 92	15 18.8% 79 100.0%	14 13.1% 105	6 11.3% 54	12 8.7% 140 100.0%	12 10.6% 112 100.0%



Table 4

One economic proposal is for the government to borrow more money over the next year and use it to build more council housing and create jobs in the construction industry Would you support or oppose this proposal?

Base : All Respondents

	Total	Total Gender			er Age			2010 Vote				Vot	ing Inten	tion			SE	G		Region11											
		Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	CON	LAB	LD	OTHER	CON	LAB	LD	UKIP	OTHER	АВ	C1	C2	DE	Scotlan d	Wales	London	South East	South West	East of England	East Midland s	West Midland s	North East	North West	Yorks & Humbers ide	
Unweighted Total	1121	495	626	441	452	228	274	255	199	71	209	339	97	163	78	242	342	294	243	73	62	135	149	116	107	75	103	57	132	105	
Weighted Total	1121	546	575	321	396	404	296	237	189	78	205	312	84	193	78	201	362	311	247	72	66	114	158	121	92	79	105	54	140	112	
Strongly support	253	143	110	55	85	113	28	92	55	15	19	115	18	28	24	39	76	80	57	23	12	24	36	38	13	15	22	17	27	23	
	22.6%	26.2%	19.2%	17.2%	21.4%	27.9%	9.6%	38.6%	29.0%	19.9%	9.3%	36.7%	21.0%	14.5%	30.7%	19.5%	21.0%	25.9%	23.1%	31.7%	18.8%	21.3%	23.0%	31.0%	13.7%	19.7%	20.6%	30.8%	19.4%	20.3%	
Somewhat support	368	178	191	108	140	120	91	87	73	21	79	116	28	56	24	73	125	103	68	21	28	44	35	30	26	30	39	20	55	38	
	32.9%	32.6%	33.1%	33.7%	35.2%	29.8%	30.7%	36.6%	38.7%	26.5%	38.4%	37.2%	34.0%	28.8%	31.4%	36.4%	34.4%	33.0%	27.5%	28.7%	43.0%	38.2%	22.2%	24.8%	27.9%	38.0%	37.1%	36.3%	39.4%	34.1%	
Neither support nor oppose	202	82	121	70	75	58	48	41	26	16	40	48	14	23	16	37	59	57	50	14	12	22	30	16	19	12	16	11	30	21	
	18.1%	15.0%	21.0%	21.7%	18.9%	14.3%	16.3%	17.2%	13.8%	20.8%	19.4%	15.3%	16.8%	11.7%	20.9%	18.6%	16.2%	18.3%	20.1%	19.7%	18.9%	19.4%	18.9%	12.8%	20.6%	14.7%	15.3%	21.0%	21.0%	18.5%	
Somewhat oppose	160	78	82	43	53	64	77	10	18	8	39	16	17	47	4	28	47	40	44	7	4	11	34	30	18	12	14	3	15	11	
	14.3%	14.3%	14.2%	13.4%	13.4%	16.0%	26.0%	4.2%	9.6%	10.3%	19.2%	5.1%	20.3%	24.3%	5.4%	14.1%	13.1%	13.0%	17.8%	9.8%	6.4%	9.8%	21.7%	24.4%	19.6%	15.0%	13.1%	6.4%	10.7%	9.5%	
Strongly oppose	92	49	43	25	31	36	45	3	9	13	24	8	4	34	7	20	42	17	13	5	6	11	19	5	9	4	7	2	8	15	
	8.2%	8.9%	7.5%	7.6%	7.9%	8.9%	15.2%	1.3%	4.8%	17.4%	11.7%	2.5%	5.0%	17.7%	8.6%	10.0%	11.7%	5.4%	5.2%	6.6%	9.1%	9.3%	11.7%	4.5%	10.3%	5.5%	6.9%	4.0%	5.8%	13.4%	
NET:Strongly support/Somewhat support	621	320	301	163	225	233	119	178	128	36	98	231	46	84	48	113	201	183	125	44	41	68	72	68	38	45	61	36	83	61	
	55.4%	58.7%	52.3%	50.9%	56.7%	57.8%	40.3%	75.2%	67.8%	46.4%	47.7%	73.9%	55.0%	43.2%	62.1%	55.9%	55.5%	58.9%	50.6%	60.4%	61.8%	59.5%	45.3%	55.8%	41.6%	57.6%	57.7%	67.1%	58.8%	54.3%	
NET:Strongly oppose/Somewhat oppose	252	127	125	67	84	100	122	13	27	21	64	24	21	81	11	49	90	57	57	12	10	22	53	35	27	16	21	6	23	26	
	22.5%	23.2%	21.8%	21.0%	21.3%	24.9%	41.2%	5.5%	14.4%	27.7%	30.9%	7.6%	25.3%	42.0%	14.1%	24.1%	24.8%	18.4%	23.0%	16.4%	15.5%	19.1%	33.5%	28.9%	29.9%	20.5%	20.0%	10.4%	16.6%	22.9%	
Don't know	45	17	29	21	12	12	7	5	7	4	4	10	2	6	2	3	13	14	16	3	3	2	4	3	7	6	7	1	5	5	
	4.0%	3.1%	5.0%	6.4%	3.1%	3.0%	2.2%	2.1%	4.0%	5.1%	2.0%	3.2%	2.9%	3.1%	2.9%	1.4%	3.5%	4.4%	6.4%	3.5%	3.8%	2.0%	2.4%	2.6%	7.9%	7.2%	7.0%	1.6%	3.6%	4.3%	
Sigma	1121	546	575	321	396	404	296	237	189	78	205	312	84	193	78	201	362	311	247	72	66	114	158	121	92	79	105	54	140	112	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	



28 May 2013